UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,837	12/15/2003	Michiharu Kudo	JP920020233US1	5521

36380 7590 09/07/2006

RICHARD M. GOLDMAN
371 ELAN VILLAGE LANE
SUITE 208, CA 95134

EXAMINER	
DWIVEDI, MAHESH H	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2168	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,837	KUDO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mahesh H. Dwivedi	2168	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *15 December 2003*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *15 December 2003* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☒ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date *12/15/2003*.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 12/15/2003 has been received, entered into the record, and considered. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 33, line 13 of the specification, "using the path **expressiong**" should be changed to "using the path **expressing**".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "which is stored in **s** predetermined storage means" is incoherent. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 6, 8, 10, 13-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by **Damiani et al.** (Article entitled "A Fine-Grained Access Control System for XML Documents", dated May 2002).

7. Regarding claim 6, **Damiani** teaches an information processor comprising:

A) a path table control unit for controlling a path table describing paths of a data file stored in the database (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5); and

B) an access right decision unit for selecting a predetermined path in the path table controlled by the path table control unit by a path expression describing a retrieval condition for the database (Page 186, Figure 5);

C) applying the access control policy describing the access control rules (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5); and

D) deciding an access right in database retrieval by the path expression with respect to the predetermined path (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path table control unit for controlling a path table describing paths of a data file stored in the database"** as "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations) and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access right decision unit for selecting a predetermined path in the path table controlled by the path table control unit by a path expression describing a retrieval condition for the database"** as "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that Figure 5 of Damiani clearly shows different access conditions for different paths in a database for queries from users. The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"applying the access control policy describing the access control rules"** as "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations) and "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"deciding an access right in database retrieval by the path**

expression with respect to the predetermined path" as "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations) and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations).

Regarding claim 8, **Damiani** further teaches an information processor comprising:

A) a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database (Pages 185-186).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database"** as "object is either a URI in Obj or is of the form URI:PE, where URI ε Obj and PE is a path expression on the tree of document URI" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations).

Regarding claim 9, **Damiani** further teaches an information processor comprising:

A) a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights,

which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression"** as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Regarding claim 10, **Damiani** teaches a database retrieval system comprising:

A) a database storing an XML document (Page 171); and

B) an access rights analysis device which decides, based on path expressions describing retrieval conditions used in retrieval for the database and an access control policy describing access control rules, to which one of 1) always permitted, 2) always denied, and 3) indeterminate an access right in the database retrieval using the path expressions corresponds (Pages 188, 190).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a database storing an XML document"** as "The rationale for our approach is defining an XML markup for a set of security elements describing the protection requirements of XML documents" (Page 171, Section 1: Introduction). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access rights analysis device which decides, based on path expressions describing retrieval conditions used in retrieval for the database and an access control policy describing access control rules, to which one of 1) always permitted, 2) always denied, and 3) indeterminate an access right in the database retrieval using the path expressions corresponds"** as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling).

Regarding claim 13, **Damiani** further teaches a database retrieval system comprising:

A) a path table control unit for controlling a path table describing paths of a data file stored in the database (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5); and

B) an access right decision unit for selecting a predetermined path in the path table controlled by the path table control unit by a path expression describing a retrieval condition for the database (Page 186, Figure 5);

C) applying the access control policy describing the access control rules (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5); and

D) deciding an access right in database retrieval by the path expression with respect to the predetermined path (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path table control unit for controlling a path table describing paths of a data file stored in the database"** as "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations) and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access right decision unit for selecting a predetermined path in the path table controlled by the path table control unit by a path expression describing a retrieval condition for the database"** as "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that Figure 5 of Damiani clearly shows different access conditions for different paths in a database for queries from users. The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"applying the access control policy describing the access control rules"** as "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations) and "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183,

Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"deciding an access right in database retrieval by the path expression with respect to the predetermined path"** as "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations) and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations).

Regarding claim 14, **Damiani** further teaches a database retrieval system comprising:

A) a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database (Pages 185-186); and

B) a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database"** as "object is either a URI in Obj or is of the form URI:PE, where URI ε Obj and PE is a path expression on the tree of document URI" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches

"a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression" as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Regarding claim 16, **Damiani** further teaches a database retrieval system comprising:

A) a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database (Pages 183, 185-186); and

B) a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"selecting a predetermined path from a path table, which is stored in s predetermined storage means and describes paths of a data file stored in the database, by a path expression**

describing a retrieval condition for the database" as "object is either a URI in Obj or is of the form URI:PE, where URI ε Obj and PE is a path expression on the tree of document URI" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations), "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations), and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression"** as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 11-12, 15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Damiani et al.** (Article entitled "A Fine-Grained Access Control System for XML Documents", dated May 2002) as applied to claims 6, 8, 10, 13-14, and 16, and in view of **Murata** (Article entitled "Extended Path Expressions for XML", dated 04/29/2001).

10. Regarding claim 1, **Damiani** teaches an information processor comprising:

A) an access control automaton generation unit for generating an access control automaton from an access control policy in which an access control rule is described (Pages 185-186); and

B) a logic operation unit for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit and the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit (Page 191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access control automaton generation unit for generating an access control automaton from an access control policy in which an access control rule is described"** as "An access authorization a ε Auth is a five-tuple of the form: <subject, object, action, sign, type>" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that it is common knowledge that an automaton is a five-tuple with states, symbols, and transition states. The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a logic operation unit for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit and the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit"** as "In particular, the final sign finlabel of each node n is determined as the result of operation ⊕ between the sign field of components of array n.veclabel considered in their priority order: LDH (local hard), RDH (recursive hard), L (local), R (recursive), LD (local, schema level), RD (recursive, schema level), LS (local soft), and RS (recursive soft)" (Page 191, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling).

Damiani does not explicitly teach:

C) a query automaton generation unit for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described.

Murata, however, teaches **"a query automaton generation unit for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described"** as "A selection query is select (e1, e2) where e1 is a hedge regular expression and e2 is a pointed hedge representation" (Pages 132-133, Section 6.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Murata's** would have allowed **Damiani's** to provide a method to allow for further processing of output relations by enabling schema translations via queries for xml documents, as noted by **Murata** (Pages 126-127, Section 1).

Regarding claim 2, **Damiani** teaches an information processor comprising:

A) wherein the logic operation unit performs decision of the access right (Page 191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"wherein the logic operation unit performs decision of the access right"** as "In particular, the final sign finlabel of each node n is determined as the result of operation ⊕ between the sign field of components of array n.veclabel considered in their priority order: LDH (local hard), RDH (recursive hard), L (local), R (recursive), LD (local, schema level), RD (recursive, schema level), LS (local soft), and RS (recursive soft)" (Page 191, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling).

Damiani does not explicitly teach:

B) a schema automaton generation unit for generating a schema automaton from a schema showing a structure of the data file stored in the database; and

C) in consideration for the schema automaton generated by the schema automaton generation unit.

Murata, however, teaches **"a schema automaton generation unit for generating a schema automaton from a schema showing a structure of the data file stored in the database"** and **"in consideration for the schema automaton generated by the schema automaton generation unit"** as "Schema transformation is effected by first creating intersection hedge automata which stimulate the match identifying hedge automata and the input schemata, and then transforming the intersection hedge automata as appropriate to the query operation" (Pages 127, Section 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Murata's** would have allowed **Damiani's** to provide a method to allow for further processing of output relations by enabling schema translations via queries for xml documents, as noted by **Murata** (Pages 126-127, Section 1).

Regarding claim 3, **Damiani** teaches an information processor comprising:

A) a path table control unit for controlling path table describing paths of the data file stored in the database (Pages 183 and 186).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path table control unit for controlling path table describing paths of the data file stored in the database"** as "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations) and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations).

Damiani does not explicitly teach:

B) wherein the schema automaton generation unit generates the schema automaton from the path table controlled by the path table control unit.

Murata, however, teaches **"wherein the schema automaton generation unit generates the schema automaton from the path table controlled by the path table control unit"** as "Schema transformation is effected by first creating intersection hedge automata which stimulate the match identifying hedge automata and the input schemata, and then transforming the intersection hedge automata as appropriate to the query operation" (Pages 127, Section 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Murata's** would have allowed **Damiani's** to provide a method to allow for further processing of output relations by enabling schema translations via queries for xml documents, as noted by **Murata** (Pages 126-127, Section 1).

Regarding claim 4, **Damiani** further teaches an information processor comprising:

A) a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database (Pages 181-182, 185-186).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database"** as "A path expression l1/l2/.../ln on a document tree represents all the attributes named ln that can be reached by descending the document tree along the sequence of nodes named l1,l2,...,ln-1" (Page 181, Section 4: Authorization Objects" and "object is either a URI in Obj or is of the form URI:PE, where URI ε Obj and PE is a path expression on the tree of document URI" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations).

Regarding claim 5, **Damiani** further teaches an information processor comprising:

A) a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained**

by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression" as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Regarding claim 7, **Damiani** further teaches an information processor comprising:

A) an access control automaton generation unit for generating an access control automaton from the access control policy in which the access control rule is described (Pages 185-186); and

B) wherein the access right decision unit selects the predetermined path by use of the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit; and decides an access right to the predetermined path by use of the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit (Page 191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access control automaton generation unit for generating an access control automaton from the access control policy in which the access control rule is described"** as "An access authorization a ε Auth is a five-tuple of the form: <subject, object, action, sign, type>"

(Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that it is common knowledge that an automaton is a five-tuple with states, symbols, and transition states. The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"wherein the access right decision unit selects the predetermined path by use of the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit; and decides an access right to the predetermined path by use of the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit"** as "In particular, the final sign finlabel of each node n is determined as the result of operation ⊕ between the sign field of components of array n.veclabel considered in their priority order: LDH (local hard), RDH (recursive hard), L (local), R (recursive), LD (local, schema level), RD (recursive, schema level), LS (local soft), and RS (recursive soft)" (Page 191, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling).

Damiani does not explicitly teach:

C) a query automaton generation unit for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described.

Murata, however, teaches **"a query automaton generation unit for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described"** as "A selection query is select (e1, e2) where e1 is a hedge regular expression and e2 is a pointed hedge representation" (Pages 132-133, Section 6.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching

Murata's would have allowed **Damiani's** to provide a method to allow for further processing of output relations by enabling schema translations via queries for xml documents, as noted by **Murata** (Pages 126-127, Section 1).

Regarding claim 9, **Damiani** further teaches an information processor comprising:

A) a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression"** as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Regarding claim 11, **Damiani** further teaches a database retrieval system comprising:

A) an access control automaton generation unit for generating an access control automaton from the access control policy in which an access control rule is described (Pages 185-186); and

B) a logic operation unit for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit and the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit (Page 191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access control automaton generation unit for generating an access control automaton from the access control policy in which an access control rule is described"** as "An access authorization a ε Auth is a five-tuple of the form: <subject, object, action, sign, type>" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that it is common knowledge that an automaton is a five-tuple with states, symbols, and transition states. The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a logic operation unit for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit and the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit"** as "In particular, the final sign finlabel of each node n is determined as the result of operation ⊕ between the sign field of components of array n.veclabel considered in their priority order: LDH (local hard),

RDH (recursive hard), L (local), R (recursive), LD (local, schema level), RD (recursive, schema level), LS (local soft), and RS (recursive soft)" (Page 191, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling).

Damiani does not explicitly teach:

C) wherein the access rights analysis device includes a query automaton generation unit for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described.

Murata, however, teaches **"wherein the access rights analysis device includes a query automaton generation unit for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described"** as "A selection query is select (e1, e2) where e1 is a hedge regular expression and e2 is a pointed hedge representation" (Pages 132-133, Section 6.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Murata's** would have allowed **Damiani's** to provide a method to allow for further processing of output relations by enabling schema translations via queries for xml documents, as noted by **Murata** (Pages 126-127, Section 1).

Regarding claim 12, **Damiani** further teaches a database retrieval system comprising:

A) a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database (Pages 185-186); and

B) a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path expression extraction unit for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database"** as "object is either a URI in Obj or is of the form URI:PE, where URI ε Obj and PE is a path expression on the tree of document URI" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a query expression access right decision unit for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights, which are obtained by the access right decision unit, for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression"** as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Regarding claim 15, **Damiani** teaches an access rights analysis method comprising:

A) generating an access control automaton from an access control policy in which an access control rule is described (Pages 185-186); and

B) storing the access control automaton in a predetermined storage means (Page 185)

C) a logic operation unit for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit and the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit (Page 191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"generating an access control automaton from an access control policy in which an access control rule is described"** as "An access authorization a ε Auth is a five-tuple of the form: <subject, object, action, sign, type>" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that it is common knowledge that an automaton is a five-tuple with states, symbols, and transition states. The examiner further notes that Damiani teaches "storing the access control automaton in a predetermined storage means" as "At each server, a set of Auth of access authorizations specifies the actions that subjects are allowed (or forbidden) to exercise on the objects stored at the server" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a logic operation unit for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the query automaton generated by the query automaton generation unit and the access control automaton generated by the access control automaton generation unit"** as "In particular, the final sign finlabel of each node n is determined as the result

of operation ⊕ between the sign field of components of array n.veclabel considered in their priority order: LDH (local hard), RDH (recursive hard), L (local), R (recursive), LD (local, schema level), RD (recursive, schema level), LS (local soft), and RS (recursive soft)" (Page 191, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling).

Damiani does not explicitly teach:

D) generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described.

E) storing the generated query automaton in a predetermined storage means.

Murata, however, teaches **"generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described"** as "A selection query is select (e1, e2) where e1 is a hedge regular expression and e2 is a pointed hedge representation" (Pages 132-133, Section 6.1) and **"storing the generated query automaton in a predetermined storage means"** as "we construct match-identifying hedge automata form hedge regular expressions and pointed hedge representations" (Page 127, Section1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Murata's** would have allowed **Damiani's** to provide a method to allow for further processing of output relations by enabling schema translations via queries for xml documents, as noted by **Murata** (Pages 126-127, Section 1).

Regarding claim 17, **Damiani** teaches a program comprising:

A) an access control automaton generation means for generating an access control automaton from an access control policy in which an access control rule is described (Pages 185-186); and

B) a logic operation means for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the generated query automaton and access control automaton (Page 191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access control automaton generation means for generating an access control automaton from an access control policy in which an access control rule is described"** as "An access authorization a ε Auth is a five-tuple of the form: <subject, object, action, sign, type>" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that it is common knowledge that an automaton is a five-tuple with states, symbols, and transition states. The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a logic operation means for deciding access rights in database retrieval using the path expression by performing logic operations related to the generated query automaton and access control automaton"** as "In particular, the final sign finlabel of each node n is determined as the result of operation ⊕ between the sign field of components of array n.veclabel considered in their priority order: LDH (local hard), RDH (recursive hard), L (local), R (recursive), LD (local, schema level), RD (recursive, schema level), LS (local soft), and RS (recursive soft)" (Page 191, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling).

Damiani does not explicitly teach:

C) a query automaton generation means for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described.

Murata, however, teaches **"a query automaton generation means for generating a query automaton from a path expression in which a retrieval condition for the database is described"** as "A selection query is select (e1, e2) where e1 is a hedge regular expression and e2 is a pointed hedge representation" (Pages 132-133, Section 6.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Murata's** would have allowed **Damiani's** to provide a method to allow for further processing of output relations by enabling schema translations via queries for xml documents, as noted by **Murata** (Pages 126-127, Section 1).

Regarding claim 18, **Damiani** further teaches a program comprising:

A) causing the computer to function as a path expression extraction means for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database (Pages 185-186); and

B) a query expression access right decision means for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"causing the computer to function as a path expression extraction means for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database"** as "object is either a URI in Obj or is of the form URI:PE, where URI ε Obj and PE is a path expression on the tree of document URI" (Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a query expression access right decision means for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression"** as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Regarding claim 19, **Damiani** further teaches a program comprising:

A) a path table control means for controlling a path table describing paths of a data file stored in the database (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5); and

B)) an access right decision means for selecting a predetermined path in the path table controlled by the path table control unit by a path expression describing a retrieval condition for the database (Page 186, Figure 5);

C) applying an access control policy describing access control rules (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5); and

D) deciding the presence of an access right in database retrieval by the path expression with respect to the predetermined path (Pages 183 and 186, Figure 5).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path table control means for controlling a path table describing paths of a data file stored in the database"** as "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations) and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"an access right decision means for selecting a predetermined path in the path table controlled by the path table control unit by a path expression describing a retrieval condition for the database"** as "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that Figure 5 of Damiani clearly shows different access conditions for different paths in a database for queries from users. The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"applying an access control policy describing access control rules"** as "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations) and

"Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"deciding the presence of an access right in database retrieval by the path expression with respect to the predetermined path"** as "Authorizations specified on an element can be defined as applicable to the element's attributes only (local authorizations) or, in a recursive approach, to its subelements and their attributes (recursive authorizations)" (Page 183, Section 5.1: Basic Features of the Access Authorizations) and "Figure 5 lists the resulting authorizations" (Page 186, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations).

Regarding claim 20, **Damiani** further teaches a program comprising:

A) a path expression extraction means for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database (Pages 185-186); and

B) a query expression access right decision means for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression (Pages 190-191).

The examiner notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a path expression extraction means for extracting the path expressions from a query expression specifying a retrieval method for the database"** as "object is either a URI in Obj or is of the form URI:PE, where URI ε Obj and PE is a path expression on the tree of document URI"

(Page 185, Section 5.2: Access Authorizations). The examiner further notes that **Damiani** teaches **"a query expression access right decision means for deciding access rights in the database retrieval by the query expression based on decision results of access rights for the individual path expressions extracted from the query expression"** as "The value of n.veclabel[t].sign can be "+" for permission, "-" for denials, and "ε" for no authorization" (Page 188, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "Signs + and – must then be mapped to the other two values, namely 1 (true) and ½ (indeterminate" (Page 190, Section 6.1: Document Tree Labeling) and "As a result of the labeling process, the value of finlabel for each node n contains the sign, if any, reflecting whether the node can be accessed (+) or not (-)" (Page 191, Section 6.2: Transformation Process).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Article entitled "Regulating Access to XML documents" by **Gabillon et al.** on July 2001. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to control access to XML documents)

Article entitled "Efficient Filtering of XML Documents for Selective Dissemination of Information" by **Altinel et al.** in 2000. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to control access to XML documents)

U.S. PGPUB 2004/0172234 issued to **Dapp et al.** on 02 September 2002. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to control access to XML documents)

U.S. PGPUB 2003/0229852 issued to **Uramoto et al.** on 12 December 2003. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to control access to XML documents)

U.S. PGPUB 2004/0073870 issued to **Fuh et al.** on 25 March 2004. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (e.g., methods to control access to XML documents)

Contact Information

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahesh Dwivedi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2731. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:20 am – 4:40 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached (571) 272-3642. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mahesh Dwivedi

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2168

August 24, 2006

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner